

PERFORMANCE		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Good	Below Average	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
Our School				Hig Stud	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	72.0	65.4	69.8	73.7	70.6	70.6	
Passed 2 subtests	16.8	17.8	20.7	15.1	16.4	16.8	
Passed 1 subtest	8.8	11.2	6.0	7.4	8.3	7.7	
Passed no subtests	2.4	5.6	3.4	3.9	4.6	4.3	

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
11101	n	%	n	%	n 110	%	
All Students	118	96.6	107	23.4	119	84.0	
Gender	50	00.0		00.0		75.4	
Male	52	96.2	50	28.0	57	75.4	
Female	66	97.0	57	19.3	62	91.9	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	29	93.1	32	9.4	33	81.8	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
White	89	97.8	75	29.3	86	84.9	
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	6	100.0	10	0.0	15	46.7	
Students without disabilities	112	96.4	97	25.8	104	89.4	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	6	100.0	107	23.4	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-LEP	118	96.6	107	23.4	119	84.0	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	20	90.0	18	5.6	28	50.0	
Full-pay meals	98	98.0	89	27.0	91	94.5	
n = number of students on which per	centage is cald	culated					

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	23.4	17.2
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	23.4	18.2
Seniors who met the grade point average	54.2	56.2

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Ninety Six High 2452025

SCHOOL PROFILE	OurSchool	Change from	High Schools with	Median High
,	Oui Su ioui	Last Year	Students Like Ours	School
Students (n= 504)				
Retention rate	6.7%	Up from 5.3%	6.4%	7.3%
Attendance rate	94.4%	Down from 95.5%	95.4%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	0.0%	No change	10.9%	5.1%
	12.3%	Down from 12.8%	12.1%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade	5.6%	Up from 4.3%	8.2%	10.1%
Suspended or expelled	4.2%	Up from 0.4%	2.9%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	12.7%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	2.9%	Up from 1.5%	3.0%	2.7%
	0.0%	No change	0.1%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	356	Up from 206	568	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	36.3%	Down from 95.3%	31.0%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	80.0%	Down from 92.2%	75.3%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	No change	100.0%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 33)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	48.5%	Up from 42.4%	55.6%	51.7%
	84.8%	Down from 87.9%	84.5%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	88.3%	Up from 87.9%	86.8%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	97.0%	Down from 97.1%	96.3%	95.8%
	\$36,961	Up 4.2%	\$40,899	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	11.0 days	Up from 5.0 days	10.6 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	9.5 to 1	Down from 22.2 to 1	27.0 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.2%	Down from 91.9%	90.7%	90.1%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,699	Up 26.6%	\$6,102	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	51.4%	Down from 55.9%	58.6%	57.8%
	Fair	Down from Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	84.9%	Up from 53.4%	85.5%	87.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	yes	19/11	you	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Ninety Six High 2452025

## REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The mission of Ninety Six High School is to accommodate a wide variety of learning styles, to promote individual worth and cultural diversity, to address the emotional needs of students, and to develop engaging activities which will enhance the opportunity for students to become life-long learners and productive citizens. The success of this mission requires active participation and cooperation of students, faculty, parents, and the community. NSHS is fully accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. While having areas that need improvement, we also have many areas of which we are proud. Our focus continues to be providing students the environment and support to maximize their individual potentials academically and personally. This is done through the guidance of a highly qualified staff in classrooms, clubs and organizations, and athletics.

Highlights and accomplishments of the 2002-03 year include the following:

Orientation Night and Project Challenge for freshmen

Language and math academic assistance during lunches by teacher volunteers An additional guidance counselor (1:260) to better serve the needs of students ACT and SAT workshops with weekly emphasis on writing and vocabulary

Exit review sessions for all tenth graders Character programs daily and weekly

Region 1A SAT Competition champs for third consecutive year

31% of seniors received LIFE scholarships

25% of seniors received additional scholarships

Three seniors received National Merit Scholarships

Three seniors received South Carolina Teaching Fellows

Four seniors received Palmetto Fellows

One student at the Governor's School for Math and Science

One student at Governor's School for the Arts and one accepted for 2003-2004

One teacher received National Board Certification

Volleyball 1A State Champions

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	35	76	62				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	79.4%	81.3%	82.3%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	88.2%	85.3%	58.1%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	63.6%	82.7%	80.0%				

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.